

MTC Use Tax Information Reporting Work Group Teleconference

As of June 14, 2017

1. Include marketplace sellers, like E Bay, in definition of remote seller? Should transactional notice be provided by vendor or marketplace? Colorado placed the obligation on the seller but MTC is not so constrained. Should annual notice be provided by the vendor? Is there a substantial risk of double reporting?

RECOMMENDATION: Marketplace facilitators should be included in the definition of remote sellers. The responsibility for providing the consumer with both the transactional and the annual notice should be placed on the marketplace facilitator. For purposes of use tax information reporting, a “marketplace facilitator” is a marketplace that provides check out services in conformity with the NCSL model.

2. Where should sellers of digital goods report if it has incomplete or conflicting information regarding the consumer’s location? Colorado requires the bill-to address be used if available, then any method that appropriately reflects the market. Should the MTC model require location of use as the primary rule, then bill-to address?

RECOMMENDATION: The model should allow the seller of digital goods to use any commercially reasonable method of sourcing the sale for reporting purposes.

3. Expand scope of model – short-term rental properties (Air B&B)? Or leave this issue for future consideration?

RECOMMENDATION: The issue of how the reporting requirements should apply to a sale of services will not be addressed as part of this project.

4. Which entity has the reporting obligation when multiple sellers are members of a controlled group? Does it matter?

RECOMMENDATION: It does not matter which member of a controlled group consisting of multiple sellers files the required reports. However, there should be sufficient information in the annual report to the consumer from which the consumer can determine the identity of the actual seller.

4a. If a seller should submit more information to the state than is required, should the state reject the report? If not, what should the state do with the extra information?

4b. What information should be required in the annual report to the tax agency? Should any additional information be required for a single report filed on behalf of multiple sellers? May the seller, on a voluntary basis, report sales that have been reported to the state, in addition to total sales (the difference would be exempt sales)?

5a. Should there be a de minimis reporting threshold for small vendors? Should that de minimis threshold be different for the transactional as opposed to the annual notice and reporting requirements?

5b. Should there be a different de minimis reporting threshold for marketplaces?

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR 5A AND 5B: There should be separate reporting thresholds for small vendors and for marketplaces. The threshold for controlled groups filing a single report should be at the controlled group level. The work group recommends a blank dollar volume threshold of \$_____, the exact amount to be set by each state. The work group should consider providing an explanatory note with the model, to provide guidance to the states in setting the amount, such as setting the amount at such a level as to satisfy due process considerations.

5c. Should there be, in addition to a cumulative dollar volume threshold, also a minimum number of transactions reporting threshold?

5d. Should there be alternatives (i.e., links to more detailed notices elsewhere on the seller's website) if technological or other limits such as a maximum number of characters preclude or restrict the seller from providing all the required information for the transactional notice?

6. Lookback period following business acquisitions? Use of voluntary disclosures or penalty waivers in such situations?

RECOMMENDATION: There should be a lookback period, and it should not be limited to business acquisitions. Such a period does not preclude the use of standard VDAs and/or penalty waivers. The general lookback period should be tied to the general limitations period for consumer use tax reporting. In the case of business acquisitions, the lookback period should be based on state successor in interest statutes regarding the assumption of liabilities by the successor.

7. Should business to business sales be included in the model, or should the model be limited to consumer sales?

RECOMMENDATION: The model should cover all sales, both B2B and B2C.